

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/015,500	DATTA, AMIT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edna Wong	1753	

All Participants:

(1) Edna Wong.

(2) Leo Zucker.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1-7, 21 and 36

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10:25 am


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the method claims were allowable except for the new matter in claim 36. However, the issue of new matter was cleared up in view of Tables 8-10 in Applicant's specification. Also, authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted to cancel the non-elected claims 1-7 and 21. Details of the Examiner's Amendment are set forth herewith.